

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,821	INAZAWA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JIE YANG	1793	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JIE YANG.

(3) ____.

(2) Lisa A. Kilday.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2008

Time: 10 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner hope the applicants can clarify the instant claim 5, because claim 5 claims : fine metal powders having an average particle diameter of not more than 400 nm, while Fig.1 of the instant specification and Fig 1/table 1 of 132 Affidavits show the average particle diameter could be over 400 nm when concentration of trivalent titanium ions over 65 %. No conclusion has been reached.

/Jie Yang/